EXHIBIT 3

	1			
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Case No. 05-44481				
DELPHI CORPORATION, ET AL.,				
Debtors.				
= = = = x				
U.S. Bankruptcy Court One Bowling Green New York, New York October 22, 2009				
10:02 AM				
BEFORE:				
HON. ROBERT D. DRAIN				
U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE				

	2
1	Supplemental Motion to Extend Deadline to Serve Process for
2	Avoidance Action Filed in Connection with Preservation of
3	Estate Claims Procedures Order
4	
5	Proposed Forty-Eighth Omnibus Hearing Agenda
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	417
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Transcribed by: Dena Page

```
3
1
2
      APPEARANCES:
3
      SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
 4
           Attorneys for Debtors
 5
           Four Times Square
           New York, NY 10036
 6
7
      BY: KAYALYN A. MARAFIOTI, ESQ.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Please be seated. Okay. In re: Delphi Corporation.

MS. MARAFIOTI: Good morning, Your Honor. Kayalyn

Marafioti on behalf of DPH Holdings, Corp., which is the

reorganized company and successor to Delphi Corporation and all
but two of its affiliated debtors.

There are only a couple of items on the calendar today, and the second of them is now moot. The motion of Robert Backy (ph.) to enforce payment of his claim was withdrawn yesterday. I think the appropriate pleadings were filed. And so that matter is, effectively, settled.

And that leaves us with the debtors' motion under Bankruptcy Rule -- well, Federal Rule 4(m) and its companion bankruptcy rule to further extend the time to file service of process in connection with a number of adversary proceedings that were filed under seal a couple of years ago.

Your Honor, since we last made a motion seeking an extension of time, quite a considerable amount of progress has been made. In March, when we initially sought time that would relate to thirty days past the consummation date, there were some 752 complaints that might be brought. And you may recall that under the modified plan, the debtors were required to submit an exhibit naming, by adversary proceeding number, the complaints that could be brought post-consummation. And that

number is now down to 177. So the pool of potential claims has been reduced to less than one quarter of its original size.

But the reason we're here seeking a further extension is that in the intense period that led up to the closing, it simply wasn't possible for the debtors to winnow that number down any further. And at the moment, under the master disposition agreement, these claims inure solely to the benefit of DPH Holdings, Corp. They did not move to the buyers. So they're staying with the reorganized company.

And there is only one employee at DPH Holdings Corp.

He has a lot of things to do right now in trying to effectuate

all the transactions that were contemplated by the plan, and

certainly this issue is now very much at the center of his

attention, but he does need a bit more time.

Also, we understand that a different law firm will be taking over those claims, if and to the extent that they are brought, and so they need a bit of time to get up to speed as well.

We think that those reasons form the basis for the Court's extension of time, here. There has been no objection filed. We did serve the motion in the usual fashion, and no objections were lodged. So we would simply ask that the order be entered as submitted.

THE COURT: Okay, and thirty days hasn't run since the consummation of the plan, right?

```
6
               MS. MARAFIOTI: That's correct. I think that would be
 1
      November 5 --
 2
 3
               THE COURT: Okay.
               MS. MARAFIOTI: -- would be the thirtieth day.
 4
               THE COURT: All right, so you're within the deadline.
 5
               MS. MARAFIOTI: Right.
 6
               THE COURT: I'll enter the order ==
 7
               MS. MARAFIOTI: Okay, very good. Thank you, Your
 8
      Honor.
 9
               THE COURT: -- for the reasons stated in the motion.
10
      As with the last ones, this is without prejudice to the rights
11
      of these potential defendants to argue other defenses, other
12
      than the running of the limitations period. And I think that
13
      goes without saying. So this is just an extension of the time
14
      to actually serve.
15
               MS. MARAFIOTI: That's right, Your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: So do you have a disc for me?
17
               MS. MARAFIOTI: I believe we do. If I may approach
18
      the bench, Your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: Sure. Obviously, the debtors' decision to
20
      proceed this way is borne out by the fact that the vast
21
      majority of these cases have already been, effectively, booted
22
      out. And as the motion states, the potential plaintiff, here,
23
      certainly should have a little more time to analyze whether it
24
      makes sense to bring the remaining lawsuits or only some of
25
```

```
7
      them before DPH and the defendants incur additional costs.
 1
 2
               Okay, thank you.
               MS. MARAFIOTI: Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay.
 4
          (Proceedings concluded at 10:07 a.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1					8
1					
2		I N D E X			
3					
4		RULINGS			
5		Page	Line		
6	Supplemental Motion to	6	10		
7	Extend Deadline to Serve				
8	Process for Avoidance				
9	Action Granted				
10	T .				
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

		9
1		
2	CERTIFICATION	
3		
4	I, Dena Page, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true	
5	and accurate record of the proceedings.	
6 7	Dena Page Digitally signed by Dena Page DN: cn=Dena Page, o, ou, email=digital1@veritext.com, c=US Date: 2009.10.23 13:54:44 -04'00'	
8	Dena Page	
9		
10	Veritext LLC	
11	200 Old Country Road	
12	Suite 580	
13	Mineola, NY 11501	
14		
15	Date: October 23, 2009	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		